Point-Based Population Models: Making your data count!

Shannon Porter Jin Yao



2024 MidAmerica GIS Symposium

Abstract

• Join Johnson County, KS AIMS for a presentation on our point-based population models. In response to frequent requests for current or near-future population estimates in geographies not typically covered by Census Bureau datasets (such as school attendance zones or county commissioner districts) we have developed four distinct point-based population models over the past 20 years. These models effectively integrate local GIS data, including property centroid locations, address points, and land use codes, with Census Bureau data. Our approach allows for precise population estimation in any custom-defined area. This enhances both accuracy and adaptability in population estimation, making our models exceptionally valuable for local government planning and response initiatives.

• In my presentation, I will provide an overview of these models, highlighting the conceptual framework and input data unique to each. I will briefly discuss the model validation process and the challenges encountered



Johnson County, KS





Johnson County Population



In Space on 2024-04-12 (Pop Model 1)



Why a point-based population model?

- A population model is quite simply a bunch of points on a map, and each point is attributed with an estimate of the number of occupants at that location. So, for instance, the model would have a point at each single-family residence and an estimate of 2.5 occupants (for example) at each point.
- By drawing a circle, polygon, or some other shape on a map, we can simply add up the number of occupants at the points within that shape and, voila, that is your population estimate.





Why a point-based population model?





Why not just rely on estimates from the Census Bureau?

- Spatial not limited to standard census geography
 - Watersheds, School districts, Subdivisions, etc.
- Temporal can estimate at any time and/or predict
- Delay census often a year delayed



Make your local data count!



What are the model inputs?

- Local data
 - Property, address, permit
 - land use, dwelling units, permit type, floodplains
- Census Bureau data
 - Average Household Size (AHS) and vacancy rate (VR)
 - Implemented 2021 ACS
 - update every year instead of every 10 years









Overview of our Models

- Model One provides a cautious, but very reliable, estimate of current population. It relies heavily on County Land Record data.
- Model Two is used when an informed, forward-looking projection is needed. It can be better than a simple extrapolation of past estimates since that assumes growth occurs as it always has (and in areas it historically has). Model Two uses the County's address dataset to inform where growth is most likely.
- Model Three is best applied when the user already has a census count for a particular area, as it simply adds on to that base number using residential building permit data that has occurred since the count.
- Model Four facilitates estimates of "ultimate" population for an area, when development is complete and full build-out has taken place.



Local data: Parcel polygons

- \rightarrow polygon centroids
- Residential parcels
 - # Dwelling units (DU)
- Tenure
 - Owner-occupied:
 - Site address = owner address
 - Renter-occupied:
 - Site address != owner address

Census Bureau data

- Average household size (AHS)
- Vacancy Rate (VR)





Local data: Address points (AddPnt)

- Residential addresses
 - 1 AddPnt = 1 household
- Tenure
 - Owner-occupied
 - SnglFamRes, TwoFamRes, AgVacant*
 - Renter-occupied
 - MultFamRes

Census Bureau data

- Average household size (AHS)
- Vacancy Rate (VR)

Occupants = AddPnt * (1 - VR) * AHS





Projects population growth since 2010

Local data: Building permits (BP)

- **Residential BP** •
 - 1 BP = 1 household
- Tenure
 - Owner-occupied
 - Single Family, Duplex

2010 - 2012 2013 - 2015

2016 - 2018 2019 - 2021 2021 - 2024

- Renter-occupied
 - Triplex, Fourplex
- Census Bureau data
 - Average household size (AHS)
 - Vacancy Rate (VR)

Occupants = BP * (1 - VR) * AHS



Projects MAX growth potential beyond current population (= Pop model 1)

Local data: Parcel polygons

• Developable parcel centroids

Occupants = AcresNotInFEMAZoneA * AvgUnitsPerAcre * AvgHouseholdSize



N 2.5 5 Miles Pop/quarter section 501 - 1000 1501 - 2000 0 - 500 1001 - 1500 2001-2576

Pop Model 1

Pop Model 4 points overlaying on top of Pop Model 1



How do we use them?

- Redistricting (Board of County commissioner, school districts, etc)
- Wastewater capacity planning model 4
- Facility planning (libraries, fire, ambulance, etc)
- Emergency and disaster response
 - Tornado scenario app
 - Hazmat exercises



How do we use them (continued)?

- Public use model 1 and 2
 - Standard Admin boundaries
 - Advanced layers Economic development
 - Any shape available on our website for any self created geography.



Standard Admin Boundaries - precalculated



Technology & Innovation

Advanced Layers - Economic Development



Custom Shape - on the fly calculation





Model validation process

- Compare our population estimates to Census Bureau's dataset
 - On 2022-07-01
 - County, and 27 minor civil divisions (i.e., cities or townships)
 - Census Bureau: Population Estimate Program (PEP) estimates
 - Our population Model 1
 - 2 sources of Average Household Size and Vacancy Rate
 - 2021 ACS 5 year
 - 2022 ACS 5 year
 - All local data are the same



Population estimates on 2022-07-01

County, & 3 largest cities

All 27 cities/townships

	PEP	M1_ s2021ACS	M1_ s2022ACS
Johnson County	619,195	629,626	625,666
Overland Park	197,726	204,311	201,707
Olathe	145,616	144,809	144,629
Shawnee	69,198	70,716	70,083



Population estimates on 2022-07-01

Difference:

- M1_s2021ACS PEP
- M1_s2022ACS PEP

Johnson County					0,41	-		
sound councy		ОК	2К	4К	6K	8К	10K	12K
		# of people						
City Township 루	PEP2022							
Overland Park	197,726							6,585
						3,98	31	
Olathe	145,616	-8	07					
		-98	37					
Shawnee	69,198			1	.,518			
				885				
Lenexa	58,617			357				
				551				
Leawood	33,713			130				
		-	608					
Gardner	24,206	-93	21					
		-97	28					
Prairie Village	22,947			673				
				387				
Merriam	10,966		-2					
				87				
Mission	9,813			815				
				699				
Roeland Park	6,771		-67					
			-45					
De Soto	6,478			959				
				1,0	04			
Spring Hill	5,729			397				
				153				

Deviation in # People

PEP2022 619,195

M1_s2021ACS D

10,431

6,471

Deviation in % of PEP2022



City Iownship =	
Overland Park	3.3%
	2.0%
Olathe	-0.6%
	-0.7%
Shawnee	2.2%
	1.3%
Lenexa	0.6%
	0.9%
Leawood	0.4%
	-1.8%
Gardner	-3.8%
	-3.8%
Prairie Village	2.9%
	1.7%
Merriam	0.0%
	0.8%
Mission	8.3%
	7.1%
Roeland Park	-1.0%
	-0.7%
De Soto	14.8%
	15.5%
Spring Hill	6.9%
	2.7%

Population estimates on 2022-07-01

Difference:

• M1_s2021ACS - PEP

• M1_s2022ACS - PEP

Aubry township	4,676			74				
				107				
Fairway	4,170			36				
				326				
Mission Hills	3,551			13				
				6				
Gardner township	2,553			561				
				679				
Oxford township	2,037		-189					
			-247					
Spring Hill township	2,002			78				
				6				
Westwood	1,736		-100					
				33				
Edgerton	1,734		-33					
			-61					
Lexington township	1,504			126				
				86				
McCamish township	998			79				
				139				
Lake Quivira	958			37				
				58				
Olathe township	901			115				
				177				
Westwood Hills	397		-2					
			-21					
Mission Woods	198		-2					
				3				
Bonner Springs	0			3				
				3				
		-2K	0	К	2К	4К	6К	8К

Aubry township	1.6%					
	2.3%					
Fairway	0.9%					
	7.8%					
Mission Hills	0.4%					
	0.2%					
Gardner township	22.0%					
	26.6%					
Oxford township	-9.3%					
	-12.1%					
Spring Hill township	3.9%					
	0.3%					
Westwood	-5.8%					
	1.9%					
Edgerton	-1.9%					
	-3.5%					
Lexington township	8.4%					
	5.7%					
McCamish township	7.9%					
	13.9%					
Lake Quivira	3.9%					
	6.0%					
Olathe township	12.8%					
	19.6%					
Westwood Hills	-0.6%					
	-5.4%					
Mission Woods	-0.9%					
	1.3%					
Bonner Springs						
	-20% -10% 0% 10% 20% 30%					
	% of DED2022					
	70 01 FEF2022					

Challenges/Limitations

- Challenges:
 - Ensuring you keep data sources up to date
 - Explaining why it is different than census
 - Always ways to improve it how much time to invest?
- Limitations:
 - It is a residential model
 - NOT a daytime model of where people are (i.e. Work)
 - NOT an "event" model (i.e., where people are for events (e.g., Fri night football game, Sunday AM church, Parade))



Recent/Upcoming improvements

- Implement 2022 ACS 5 year
- Improve Group quarter occupants
 - Use local data that can be acquired regularly rather than only update every 10 years
- Situs/owner address improvements/corrections
- Working on model 3 and 4 updates to bring to current
- Appraiser Working Tax Year (LBCS, Dwelling Units)
- ACS 2023 Dec?



Summary

- With some simple local data and basic Census data you can create models of your own.
 - Parcel centroid and dwelling units (Appraiser)
 - or address points (filtered to residential)
 - Census Avg household size for your county
- Recommend archiving on key census dates





Questions?

- AIMS Website: https://aims.jocogov.org/
- About AIMS Storymap: <u>https://arcg.is/1mKivb0</u>
- Mapper <u>mapper@jocogov.org</u>
- Shannon Porter GIS Manager <u>Shannon.porter@jocogov.org</u>
- Jin Yao Senior Data Analyst <u>Jin.yao@jocogov.org</u>

